

1 AUG 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

SUBJECT: Report of TDY Trip to Europe

1. Recently, [redacted] of my Staff, completed a TDY trip to Europe which included visits to Paris and Camp des Loges (SWPE), Frankfurt, Heidelberg, and London. Pertinent portions of their report of interest to your Staff or Division, including recommended courses of actions, are attached for your information and action deemed necessary.

2. Your comments are welcomed.

[redacted] [redacted]
Chief, War Plans Staff

Attachments:

As stated

Distribution:

✓ 1 - C/SR
1 - C/AF
1 - C/NE
1 - C/EE
1 - OL
1 - OP
1 - OC
1 - CA
1 - FI

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

3.a. Development and employment of externally held assets:

(1) WPGE was briefed on current readiness for employment of AEDEPOT agent assets. Among the items discussed were: status of training, progress made in planning for alerting and assembling the assets, documentation and equipment, communications, and general readiness posture.

(2) WPGE presented its concepts for overseas reception of the agent assets, final briefings, assignment of missions, team organization, target folders and planning for case officers. Its views are summarized below:

(a) Overseas reception: It was the consensus of opinion that externally held assets should be moved direct to central holding areas in either Spain, Portugal or Ireland. WPGE has agreed to select areas in the countries concerned which are under U. S. military jurisdiction and forward the geographical locations to Headquarters.

Action: WPGE will forward information.

(b) Final briefings, team organization and assignment of missions: It was the consensus of opinion that this could best be done at overseas holding areas before introducing the agents into their target areas. Such concept offers maximum flexibility in meeting changing situations, takes advantage of late intelligence information, offers COMCIAEUR a firm control over assets, takes advantage of centralized security, and, if not already accomplished, marries up the agent assets with case officers. This item should appropriately be included in COMCIAEUR plans.

Action: SWPE include above information in COMCIAEUR OPLANS.

SECRET

(c) Target folders: Target folders are now present in Europe for each UW target area included in CINCEUR's Plan. (COMSOTFE) stated the target folders are about 90 percent complete and are based upon information contained in TAB studies. Information regarding specific format, subject material, and whether or not the folders contain biographical data on indigenous persons to be found in target areas was not disclosed at the conference. Acting DSWPE, however, has agreed to examine the target folders and notify Headquarters of their contents.

Action: SWPE will forward information.

(d) Planning for case officers: Plans for employment of externally held assets have progressed to the point where detailed consideration must be given to assignment of case officers to personally control the agents. Since this was a new subject and no SR representatives were present, none of the conferees were prepared to discuss the subject other than from a case officer need basis. Therefore, it was decided that SR Division would be made aware of the subject and requested to state its views.

Action: Forward to SR Division for its views.

(e) Storage of Communications Plans: Since final assignment of target areas and missions to agent teams cannot be given until arrival in overseas holding areas, WPGE feels that Commo plans should be held at overseas Commo bases.

Action: OC and SR be requested to state their views.

(f) Planning for wartime use of agent assets: This subject was not discussed with WPGE, but the thought occurs that thinking regarding use of externally held assets has firmed up to the point where WPGE can put together an annex or tab to an existing annex in CIAEUR OPLAN 100 regarding this subject. Such annex or tab would include concepts of deployment and establish responsibilities for reception, documentation, briefings, assignment of missions, team organization, target folders, case officers, communications plans and other details necessary to coordinate wartime use of the agent assets.

Action: SWPE will be requested to state his views on this proposal.

3.c. SHAPE Wartime Clandestine Intelligence Collection

Requirements: At the present time, no official channels between SHAPE and USEUCOM Headquarters have been established which would cause a review to be made of CPC requirements leading to a determination as to whether or not any of them can be fulfilled by military collection capabilities. U.S. Member of the Special Plans Branch, SHAPE, and Requirements Office, J-2, USEUCOM, have worked out an arrangement whereby the EUCOM Staff will review all SHAPE requirements which have been or will be levied upon the CPC. Those SHAPE requirements which can be answered by military collection means expected to be available to USCINCEUR in wartime will be absorbed in EUCOM lists and eliminated from the CPC lists. This will not only ensure coverage for SHAPE requirements within the U.S. military command structure, but will also tend to ensure that the residual requirements placed upon CPC are really those for which clandestine collection is necessary. In addition, this will result in a more realistic number of requirements which are placed upon the National Clandestine Services.

3.e. Schedule for preparation of supporting plans for USCINCEUR Contingency Plans: The only CIA supporting contingency plan which has been prepared to date is the one for Morocco. Because of the limited number of personnel in WPGE to prepare the plans and the fact that they are preparing country plans for stations, no one is available to write contingency plans.

WPGE feels it's a matter of priority. Country plans to support the basic CIAEUR OPLAN 100 have the highest priority. Therefore, a schedule of completion will depend upon the rate of completion of the GEOP plans.

Action: WPGE continue to press forward in completing plans.

~~SECRET~~

4.i. Determination of S&S areas: In talking with [] who handles UW requirements in CINCEUR's area, we discovered he has a problem in selecting S&S areas. In fact, he doubts that the eleven S&S targets included in current UW requirements are the best areas available in the USSR. His initial proposal was that the CIA nominate to EUCOM the specific areas where S&S operations are feasible and have a good chance of success. The stand which we took in talking with Stover was that the Military themselves should designate the areas where they feel that the S&S operations would further their operational plans, and CIA will then study the areas to determine feasibility of S&S operations.

Action: New CINCEUR UW requirements are being prepared. WPS will take appropriate action when they are received officially from JCS.